



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/965,781	09/28/2001		Royce D. Jordan JR.	010555	010555 3404	
26285	7590	03/18/2004		EXAMINER		
		OCKHART LLP	SMITH, CREIGHTON H			
535 SMITHFIELD STREET PITTSBURGH, PA 15222				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			2645	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

u-				
	Appliestion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) SORDAN, R.D.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner.	<u> </u>	Group Art Unit	
	5m oth	C.K.	2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet L	eneath the corr	respondence address	
Period for Response	7	•		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by c Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response within the set or extended period for respons	ys, a response within the statut default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirt of from the mailing d	y (30) days will be considered ate of this communication .	
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
☐ This action is FINAL .				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			e merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims	-20			
laim(s)	is/are pe	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are wit	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allo	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected		
□ Claim(s)	,	· ·		
□ Claim(s)	-	·		
		requirem		
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi	•	□ diaammaa.cad		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	* *	⊔ disapproved.		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	cled to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers) 	of the priority documents h	ave been		
☐ received in this national stage application from the In	•	. ,,		
*Certified copies not received:			·	
Attachment(s)	6			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	1	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	048 🗆 (☐ Other		

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

Part of Paper No._ *U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710

Application/Control Number: 09/965,781

Art Unit: 2645

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lazaridis et al in view of McCuthcheon et al.

Lazaridis et al disclose a method of sending a text message from a PC (26) located on a LAN (14) through the Internet (18) into gateway (20) and onto pager (24), col. 6, lines 31-32. Lazaridis et al do not disclose the header of the message indicating what time the message should be delivered. However, McCuthcheon et al disclose in col. 3, lines 65-67, that the header information contains the time of delivery of the message. To have provided McCutcheon's teaching of delivering the message to the intended recipient at a certain time in Lazaridis et al text messaging system would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the recipient might be in an important meeting and would desire that important messages be relayed to him/her when the meeting is over with.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Nielsen

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Creighton h Smith at telephone number 308-2488.

Creighton h Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 2645